

**CITY OF NEWARK  
DELAWARE**

**COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES**

**January 9, 2012**

Those present at 7:00 pm:

Presiding: Mayor Vance A. Funk, III  
District 1, Mark Morehead  
District 2, Jerry Clifton  
District 3, Doug Tuttle  
District 4, David J. Athey  
District 5, Ezra J. Temko  
District 6, A. Stuart Markham

Staff Members: City Manager Kyle Sonnenberg  
City Secretary Patricia Fogg  
City Solicitor Bruce Herron  
Assistant to the City Manager Carol Houck  
Assistant to the City Manager Charles Zusag  
Finance Director Robert Uyttebroek  
Planning & Development Director Roy Lopata  
Assistant P& D Director Maureen Feeney Roser

---

1. The regular Council meeting began with a moment of silent meditation and pledge to the flag.

2. MOTION BY MR. CLIFTON, SECONDED BY MR. MARKHAM: THAT ITEM 2-G, REAPPOINTMENT OF JANET YODER TO THE NEWARK BOARD OF ELECTIONS – 3 YEAR TERM TO EXPIRE JANUARY 2015, BE ADDED TO THE CONSENT AGENDA AND THAT ITEM 9-A-1, RESOLUTION NO. 12-\_\_: APPROVAL OF APPLICATION FOR DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND GRANT, BE DELETED FROM THE AGENDA.

MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY: VOTE: 7 to 0.

Aye – Athey, Clifton, Funk, Markham, Morehead, Temko, Tuttle.  
Nay – 0.

3. **1. ITEMS NOT ON PUBLISHED AGENDA**

A. Public

**00:54**

Adam Liebtag, President of CWA Local 1036, representing the 60+ white collar employees of the City, was present (along with 25+ employees) to demonstrate their commitment to negotiate a fair and reasonable contract in a timely fashion with the City. He said it was unfortunate that talks broke down in mediation, and the unusual step was taken for Union members to attend the meeting in order to bring this matter to Council's attention. They were extremely disappointed no agreement was reached after almost a year of negotiations and no increase in salary since 2009, and they attempted in good faith to bargain a successor agreement. Mr. Liebtag added they asked for only one thing at the bargaining table – a fair and reasonable increase, recognizing the economic climate in the City, the State and across the region. He reported that a second session with the mediator was cancelled because the City was not willing to move at all on the position it gave at the end of the first mediation session. He said they wanted to get back to the bargaining table and would file for arbitration and go forward with that process if they did not get a signal from the City that

they wanted to come back to the bargaining table. He pointed out the City and the Union would spend time, money, energy and resources if they went to arbitration which could very easily be avoided through mutual negotiations. They were looking for parity with the other contract units and parity going back to 2009 when this unit took a lower increase than any other unit in the City. Mr. Liebtag urged Council to send their representatives back to the bargaining table in order to reach a contract with the Union.

4. Mike Walsh, a Newark resident, congratulated the City on its recent recognition as one of the top Main Streets in the country. However, he commented that recent construction by the University hurt the character of certain streets in the neighborhoods (for example, the Barnes and Noble Bookstore on Main Street.) He felt the building did not fit in or compliment the nearby historic buildings. Another example was the new addition to the International House on Courtney Street. He thought the addition was too tall and too close to the sidewalk and did not fit into the character of the street. (It was noted that this property was owned by the University.) A third example was the math and science building at Academy and Lovett Streets which he thought did not fit into the character of the street.

5. Catherine Ciferni, a Newark resident, was concerned that the handicap parking spaces in Lot #3 were not sufficiently marked and asked if that could be addressed. She also expressed concern that the Downtown Newark gift cards were not available right before the Christmas holiday because the Parking office was closed at that time. Since many people do last minute shopping, she thought the City was losing the opportunity to sell additional gifts cards, and it was an inconvenience to shoppers who wanted to purchase them. She suggested a kiosk be made available somewhere on Main Street where the gift cards could be purchased.

6. **1-B. UNIVERSITY**

**16:45**

1. Administration – Mr. Armitage reminded everyone that a special presentation would be made at the 1/23 Council meeting by the University administration regarding building plans for the next year. He also introduced Erik Schramm and Mark Brainard, Jr, who will assume his duties when he retires from the University in June. With regard to new buildings on campus, he noted that the University was very sensitive to the community. Mr. Temko thought it was very important for the community to be able to give their input on new University projects especially during the early planning stages.

7. **1-B-2. STUDENT BODY REPRESENTATIVE** - None

8. **1-C. COUNCIL MEMBERS**

**23:11**

**Mr. Temko**

- Mr. Temko expressed his appreciation to the City employees in attendance for the jobs they do. He thanked them for their service and all the service they provided to the community.
- Wished everyone a Happy New Year.
- Remarked on the vehicle break-ins in Fairfield and Fairfield Crest and thanked the Police Department for the amount of communication and work that was already done regarding the break-ins.
- Referred to previous conversations about making the Council Chamber more accessible and recently learned there were three alternatives with different financials involved. He asked that this item be put on the next agenda for Council's consideration on whether they should proceed with any changes.

- Mr. Temko liked getting the email version of the City Newsletter, but said the Parks and Recreation Activities Schedule was in PDF format. He thought it should be in an online-friendly format where people can click through and view it in a web-friendly format.

**9. Mr. Markham**

Mr. Markham thanked everyone for their condolences given to him for the passing of his father.

**10. Mr. Tuttle**

- Mr. Tuttle said he hoped Council would have the opportunity to discuss their strategies for the hiring of a new City Manager. Mr. Funk advised that during the Executive Session Council would be discussing the status of the landlord case as well as the appointment of an Interim City Manager. However, the process of recruiting a new City Manager would be done in a public forum and since it was not on the agenda, it would be done at the next meeting. Mr. Tuttle said there were two alternatives for recruiting a new City Manager – retain a firm as was done three years ago, or do it in-house. Mr. Funk said he was impressed with the memo Council received from Mr. Zusag on how the recruitment could be done internally. Mr. Temko noted that he read online where one city found it more expensive to have the recruitment done in-house rather than contracting it out. He hoped all the necessary information would be available for Council to consider before they made a final decision on how to proceed. Mr. Clifton added that he thought it was important to move the process forward and pointed out that Mayor Funk would not be at the next meeting. Mr. Clifton was comfortable that the staff could assist Council with their decision in hiring a new City Manager.

Mr. Herron advised that the process could be discussed at this time but not acted upon until a future meeting. Mr. Athey questioned whether another RFP was required if they would decide to contract an outside firm. He was told that if the cost was under \$25,000 there was no need for an RFP. Mr. Tuttle said a very diligent search was done by a quality firm not long ago, and that firm was up to speed on Newark and what Council was looking for. Further, he noted they had already submitted a proposal at a cost less than what was spent a few years ago.

Staff will provide Council with a report on the cost of doing the recruitment in-house, and Mr. Funk said he would provide his comments to Council prior to the next meeting since he will be absent. Mr. Temko understood there may be cost savings involved if they chose to use the same firm, but he asked Council to reflect on evaluating that firm's performance. He did not think the mix of candidates provided by Slavin Consultants in the past was diverse.

Mr. Sonnenberg was asked to comment on Slavin Consultants compared to other firms to which he said he thought they did a good job and was the only firm he could recall that made an effort to meet with him in advance. He thought the processes were generally similar with some variation depending on localities. He added that all the companies have similar contacts in the profession and were not radically different although certain consultants may know particular people better based on their exposure to them. He believed Council would have more credibility from the applicants' standpoint if they used a professional recruiter.

Mr. Clifton asked what would happen if a contract was approved for a certain amount but for some reason it exceeded the \$25,000 threshold. Mr. Herron advised that the contract entered into would stipulate that the cost could not go above \$25,000 to avoid that problem.

**11. Mr. Morehead**

- Mr. Morehead noted that he attended the police promotion ceremony today of Officers Paul Keld and Michael VanCampen and thanked the police for the good job they do.
- Mr. Morehead asked for a copy of the new parking study that was recently completed. He also referred to the fact that he has been painted with the brush of being against the More parking project. He clarified that he had two concerns with the project: 1) the size of the parking spaces must meet the City Code. He was told by the City Manager that the spaces would meet the Code; and 2) Newark would have the first installation of this system in the country. He was concerned that there would be no local support of this system (since it was manufactured out of the country) and if damage was sustained, the length of time it would take for repairs. If something would go wrong, the City could lose its existing parking and the project could be a waste of money. It was clarified that the original project planned in Seattle, Washington, did not occur. Mr. Morehead said it would be important to know if there was a problem, they could prove replacement parts would be available in two weeks. Without that kind of information, he was not ready to make any decisions regarding More parking.

**12. Mr. Clifton**

- Mr. Clifton attended the police promotion and said it was for two well deserving officers.
- Thanked the employees who were in attendance for the jobs they do.
- Introduced the new Finance Director, Robert Uyttebroek, who has been with the City for one week.

**13. Mr. Athey**

- Mr. Athey reported that the water main work on Kells Avenue was finished several days before Christmas. Both the contractor and the City did a great job, and although there was some inconvenience, his neighbors were highly complementary to the contractor for getting the job done. Mr. Sonnenberg reported that the City was continuing to take tests on the water, and the quality of the water continued to improve every week. Mr. Athey added that the water pressure at the hydrant was two times higher than it was in the past.
- Wished everyone a Happy New Year.

**14. 2. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA**

- A. Approval of Regular Council Meeting Minutes – December 12, 2011
- B. **First Reading – Bill 12-01** – An Ordinance Amending Chapter 20, Motor Vehicles and Traffic, Code of the City of Newark, Delaware, By Bringing the Code Into Conformance with the State Code By Increasing the Minimum and Maximum Fines for Failing to Obtain Vehicle Registration – **2<sup>nd</sup> Reading – January 23, 2012**
- C. Receipt of Alderman’s Report – December 22, 2011
- D. Reappointment of Newark Memorial Committee – One Year Terms
- E. Reappointment of Priscilla Onizuk to the Newark Board of Elections 3-Year Term to Expire January 2015
- F. Planning Commission Minutes – December 6, 2011

**49:51**

Ms. Fogg read the Consent Agenda in its entirety.

MOTION BY MR. TUTTLE, SECONDED BY MR. CLIFTON: THAT THE CONSENT AGENDA BE APPROVED AS SUBMITTED.

MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY: VOTE: 7 to 0.

Aye – Athey, Clifton, Funk, Markham, Morehead, Temko, Tuttle.  
Nay – 0.

15. **3. ITEMS NOT FINISHED AT PREVIOUS MEETING:** None

16. **4. FINANCIAL STATEMENT:** None

17. **5. RECOMMENDATIONS ON CONTRACTS & BIDS:** None

18. **6. ORDINANCES FOR SECOND READING & PUBLIC HEARING:**

**A. Bill 11-29** – An Ordinance Amending the Zoning Map of the City of Newark, Delaware, By Rezoning from BL (Business Limited) to BB (Central Business District) the .345 Acre Portion of 132 and 136 East Delaware Avenue **(See Items 7-A and 7-B)**

**50:59**

Ms. Fogg read Bill 11-29 by title only. She advised that the public hearing for Bill 11-29, the redevelopment and major subdivision and special use permit for this project would be held at the same time.

MOTION BY MR. MOREHEAD, SECONDED BY MR. TEMKO: THAT THIS BE THE SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE OF BILL 11-29.

Jeff Lang, 13 Spring Water Way, developer, displayed several renderings during his presentation. He explained that the proposed project was located behind Main Street Plaza (Learning Station). The initial proposal included 28 apartments and approximately 4,000 square feet of commercial space with a parking waiver request of 51 spaces. The Planning Commission tabled that proposal in October, 2011. Following comments from the Planning Commission members and the community, a new plan was submitted and recommended by the Planning Commission in November, 2011, which proposed a smaller three-story mixed use facility with 6,000 square feet of commercial first floor space, 14 upper floor apartments and a 19 space parking waiver. That amended plan was now before Council for their approval.

The units will consist of a mixture of one bedroom with dens, two bedrooms and three bedroom units. A residential elevator, an ample storage area and a balcony on most units would be included which would make the units more attractive for the possibility of future ownership.

Mr. Lang presented another option for Council to consider that addressed some of the concerns raised at the Planning Commission (even though they recommended the project before Council). The option reduced the commercial space, reduced the number of rental units to 12 and eliminated the parking waiver. A rough sketch was submitted to Council for their review and future consideration if there was no support for the project as submitted.

Mr. Lang discussed housing needs for young graduate students and young professionals downtown and explained why they would be attracted to the apartments in the proposed project.

Mr. Funk asked if any consideration was given to the fact that a restaurant would be replacing the Learning Station. Mr. Lang reminded Council when the Learning Station building was approved, it was predicated on two large restaurants in that building and that was why a large parking waiver was needed and granted at that time. However, no restaurants ever went into the building, and there were 42 parking spaces (the number of parking spaces that were waived was not available at the meeting).

Mr. Temko did not like the alternative revision that was proposed (12 units with less commercial) as he felt it was contrary to the request to rezone to BC.

The alternative option did not require a parking waiver but he viewed parking waivers as taking care of parking in a smarter way and making a city in the downtown area look at how to meet parking and transportation needs. He did not think downsizing the commercial space for more apartments to meet the parking requirement made sense.

Regarding the plan before Council, Mr. Temko asked what would make this project more enticing to graduate students and young adults compared to existing apartments in the area. Mr. Lang's answer was affordability as his experience showed that graduate students and young professionals have two people in a unit, renting for \$1300-\$1400. Undergraduate students typically have 4-6 people living together and paid \$400 - \$500 per person.

Mr. Temko commented on the building façade and felt the flat front and flat roof were boxy looking compared to the original plan. Mr. Lang said the new rendering reflected buildings he saw in Chicago but the roof could be changed if that was the desire of Council.

Mr. Clifton asked if he was correct that Mr. Lang provided no parking for any of his tenants. Mr. Lang said no, it depended on the building's location. Mr. Clifton asked about the Buffalo Wild Wings building and was told that special arrangements were made with the University of Delaware parking garage. Based on the limited parking downtown, he provided no parking at 108 and 102 E. Main Street. Mr. Clifton asked what amenities the apartments would offer that would make them conducive to convert to owner-occupied units. Under the present design of 14 units, Mr. Lang showed a rendering where on-site storage was available for the units as well as an elevator.

Mr. Funk asked what the sides and the back of the building would look like since no drawings were submitted with their packet. Mr. Lang said the back would look like the front and the sides would be very similar using the same brick and with stone on the bottom.

Mr. Clifton commented on the option given at the table and the fact that the public was only familiar with the second proposal currently before Council for their consideration. He commended Mr. Lang's willingness to downscale the project but said he would not be comfortable making a change at the table at this time. Mr. Athey agreed with Mr. Clifton's comments.

Mr. Markham thought this was an opportunity for Council to tell Mr. Lang what they wanted downtown at this location. He liked the idea that this project would attract graduate students because he did not want to see more four bedroom units downtown. He had less of a problem with a parking waiver especially if there would be graduate students because they were allowed to park in the central lots (there was one across the street behind the old middle school). He would like to have more diversity downtown and although he would like to see owner occupancy, he thought that would be a long time in coming.

Mr. Tuttle added that there were many young professionals and recent graduates who would like to live downtown but they could not find affordable housing without having six roommates. He pointed out that many people at this stage of their lives rent and questioned whether tenants would ever want to purchase the units. He would not want to see fewer apartments because a certain amount of density was needed downtown, and the land downtown was too valuable to use for parking.

Mr. Morehead said he was not in favor of one parking space per unit but rather felt two parking spaces per unit were critical. He had no problem with the parking waiver for a business use where the cars come and go during the day, but if two spaces per unit were not provided when this building was built, he thought it would limit the units to graduate students, and they would never be converted to anything else.

The Chair opened the discussion to the public.

Maria Ruocco, a Newark resident, expressed her concern about changing the character of the neighborhood and the importance of trying to attract small, local businesses for the commercial space.

John Bauscher, a Newark resident, asked if Mr. Lang would agree to a deed restriction indicating they would not rent to undergraduate students. He was advised that such a restriction was considered discrimination. He believed undergraduate students would be living at this site contrary to the developer's expectations.

Bruce Chase, a resident of the Washington House, expressed concern with providing only one parking space per unit. He did not think the project had a chance to become owner occupied with only one parking space per unit and limited storage space.

Catherine Ciferni, a Newark resident, questioned the size of the kitchen which has not been determined at this time. She noted that she lives on Main Street and most of the people living there were graduate students and foreign students and all of the units had one parking space.

Jill Scott, a resident of the Washington House, thought it was important for each unit to have two parking spaces per unit. She stressed the importance of having more parking and giving up some of the retail space since this project was located on Delaware Avenue where there would be less walk-in traffic. The additional parking space would be attractive to graduate students and young couples.

There being no further comments, the discussion was returned to the table.

Mr. Temko requested Mr. Lang to comment on local businesses and the size of the commercial units. Mr. Lang said he had been and always would be a big proponent of local businesses. He thought it was important to build a building with commercial space. Although Delaware Avenue was not a vibrant commercial district today, sometime in the future it could turn into that. Mr. Lang further explained how much more expensive it was per square foot to rent on Main Street and how small businesses were willing to take the risk to be on Delaware Avenue where the rent was lower, especially when they had good accessibility to Main Street. Mr. Funk added that he thought the smaller commercial spaces were to Mr. Lang's advantage because he has been contacted by many people who were interested in Kevin Heitzenroder's project on Delaware Avenue which had relatively small commercial spaces. Mr. Lang anticipated the commercial space to be about 20' wide and 60' deep or 20' wide and 40' deep.

Mr. Temko noted that the plan before Council included three bedroom apartments and asked why three bedrooms as opposed to a larger number of apartments with a maximum of two tenants. He did not think three bedrooms attracted young professionals and graduate students. Mr. Funk thought two bedrooms and a den was more in line with what the market dictated. Mr. Lang said the size of the common space and the size of the bedrooms were dictated by the market and stated the average size of a unit would be 1000 sq. ft.

Mr. Markham was concerned if they reduced the commercial space or deleted it entirely, that would result in just another apartment building downtown (with parking). The vacancy rate downtown was around 3% and businesses that wanted to come downtown would either go to the shopping centers or Delaware Avenue. Mr. Funk added that most of the inquiries he heard were for the smaller,

more affordable spaces and reiterated that the rent on Main Street was too expensive.

Mr. Clifton said he was concerned (based on Mr. Lang's track record) that every time they discuss a project, they talk about onsite parking. He referenced a discussion on April 23, 2007 regarding the Buffalo Wild Wings project when he questioned the parking for the units in that building. He remembered Mr. Lang saying there would be 18 spaces on site and later discovered those spaces were no longer there. If this project goes forward, he would like some guarantee the units would have the parking spaces described tonight. He did not want to hear later that the parking spaces changed to across or down the street or around the corner. Another concern of Mr. Clifton's was the proliferation of apartment units and the impact they have on other areas. He believed if Mr. Lang was truly targeting a different market, he would deed restrict the property to two unrelated tenants. A third concern was the overall vision for downtown. Her referenced comments made at the November Planning Commission by one of the commissioners who said "to expect the Main Street/Delaware Avenue corridor in Newark to be anything less than closely connected economically to the University's faculty, staff and students is unrealistic, actually fiction." He could not disagree with that statement and pointed out that Washington House had residents who worked for the University.

Mr. Clifton reminded everyone that the Comprehensive Land Use Plan called for diversity downtown and as long as there was only student housing downtown, there would be no diversity. He thought they approved the Washington House to encourage owner occupancy downtown and approved the Center Street overlay (which had not yet been realized) for the same purpose. However, he noted there were other projects coming up for Main Street and said if you were talking about a diverse, vibrant downtown, to try to do something other than make an attempt to fulfill the vision of the Plan was kidding nobody but themselves. That being said, he would not support the project as proposed.

Mr. Clifton mentioned concerns about the proposed balconies and asked if they could be eliminated. Also, if the project goes forward, he hoped Mr. Lang would consider restricting the times for construction and trash pickup. Mr. Funk added that the balconies could be decorative. Mr. Lang thought they were important to their target market. He noted they were small concrete balconies with a roof and thus did not expect any problems with noise. With regard to construction start up times, Mr. Lang pointed out this was dictated by the Code. He would cooperate to enforce this to the extent he could, but it was important for any additional enforcement needed to come from the City.

Mr. Temko asked for a commitment (if the project moved forward) that Mr. Lang change the roof to be more architecturally diverse and not be quite as flat. Mr. Lang said he would be happy to make that alteration.

Mr. Temko commented that the City currently placed a burden on the developers for making the City more attractive to owner occupants. However, he said if this was a goal of the City, Council should make a commitment to become more proactive in developing downtown in ways that downtowns were meant to be developed. He believed this process was underway but had a long way to go.

Mr. Funk summarized that Mr. Lang had a choice of tabling his request and coming back to Council with a revised plan or taking the chance of calling for a vote on what was before them. If the project was not approved, he would have a two year wait before coming back with a new plan.

Mr. Lang said he was hoping for a more definitive direction from Council because he heard support for both designs proposed.

Mr. Athey asked if the third option was a significant change and therefore Council would need to vote on it at a future meeting. Mr. Herron did not have the

opportunity to look at the third proposal to opine. Mr. Lopata added that the third proposal was not a substantial change and would not have to go back to the Planning Commission. The third option was essentially the same with two less units, less commercial space and additional parking, thereby eliminating the need for a parking waiver.

AMENDMENT BY MR. TEMKO, SECONDED BY MR. MOREHEAD: THAT THE PLAN BE AMENDED TO REDUCE THE NUMBER OF UNITS TO 12, REDUCE THE COMMERCIAL SPACE AND ELIMINATE THE NEED FOR A PARKING WAIVER WITH THE UNDERSTANDING IF MOTION PASSES, THE CURRENT PROPOSAL WILL BE TABLED AND THE DEVELOPER WILL PRESENT A REVISED PLAN AT THE NEXT COUNCIL MEETING.

AMENDMENT PASSED. VOTE: 5 to 2.

Aye – Athey, Clifton, Funk, Morehead, Tuttle.  
Nay – Markham, Temko.

MOTION BY MR. CLIFTON, SECONDED BY MR. MARKHAM: THAT ITEM 6-A, 7-A AND 7-B BE TABLED.

MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY. VOTE: 7 to 0.

Aye – Athey, Clifton, Funk, Markham, Morehead, Temko, Tuttle.  
Nay – 0.

**19. 7. PLANNING COMMISSION/DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATIONS:**

**A.** Request of 132 E. Delaware Avenue Associates, LLC for the Redevelopment and Major Subdivision of 132 and 136 E. Delaware Avenue, In Order to Construct a Three-Story Mixed Use Building with 6,000 Gross Square Feet of First Floor Commercial Space and 14 Apartments on the Second and Third Floors (*Resolution and Agreement Submitted – See Items 6-A and 7-B*)

(This item was tabled under Item 18.)

**20. 7-B. REQUEST OF 132 E. DELAWARE AVENUE ASSOCIATES, LLC FOR A SPECIAL USE PERMIT TO ALLOW 14 UPPER FLOOR APARTMENTS IN THE PROPOSED THREE-STORY COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL MIXED USE BUILDING TO BE CONSTRUCTED AT 132 AND 136 E. DELAWARE AVENUE (SEE ITEMS 6-A AND 7-A)**

(This item was tabled under Item 18.)

**21. 8. ITEMS SUBMITTED FOR PUBLISHED AGENDA**

**A. Council Members:** None

**22. 8-B. OTHERS:** None

**23. 9. SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS:**

**A.** Special Reports from Manager & Staff: None

**24. 9-B. REQUEST FOR EXECUTIVE SESSION RE LITIGATION AND PERSONNEL**

**2:17**

MOTION BY MR. CLIFTON, SECONDED BY MR. MOREHEAD: THAT COUNCIL ENTER INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION WITHOUT THE PRESS TO DISCUSS LITIGATION AND PERSONNEL.

MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY. VOTE: 7 to 0.

Aye – Athey, Clifton, Funk, Markham, Morehead, Temko, Tuttle.  
Nay – 0.

Council entered into Executive Session at 9:20 p.m. and returned to the table at 10:41 p.m.

Mayor Funk announced as a result of the Executive Session, a motion was needed by Council.

MOTION BY MR. CLIFTON, SECONDED BY MR. ATHEY: THAT CAROL HOUCK BE APPOINTED INTERIM CITY MANAGER EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 25, 2012.

MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY. VOTE: 7 to 0.

Aye – Athey, Clifton, Funk, Markham, Morehead, Temko, Tuttle.  
Nay – 0.

**25. Meeting adjourned at 10:42 p.m.**

Patricia M. Fogg, CMC  
City Secretary

/av